

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 12/14/2000 Jackson B. Hester JR. 6295.N CN1 8319 09/736,858 **EXAMINER** 7590 11/25/2003 Lucy X. Yang COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY Pharmacia & Upjohn Company ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Global Intellectual Property 301 Henrietta Street 1624 Kalamazoo, MI 49001 DATE MAILED: 11/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1			Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
			09/736,858		HESTER ET AL.	
C	Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	
			Brenda L. Co		1624	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠ Res _l	consive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>22 Se</u>	eptember 200)3 .		
2a)∐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition o	f Claims					
4)⊠ Clair	Claim(s) <u>1-14,16,17,22-25,30-36 and 38-66</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) C	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Clair	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16,17,22-25,30-36 and 38-45</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Clair	Claim(s) <u>46-64 and 66</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Clair	☑ Claim(s) <u>65</u> is/are objected to.					
8)∐ Clair	m(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or	r election req	uirement.		
Application P	apers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
` Appli	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Repla	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2.	Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internati	y documents s of the priori	s have been r ity document	eceived in Applications in the served in Applications in the served in t		Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
	eferences Cited (PTO-892)			Interview Summary		
	aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO	-152)
	Disclusure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	raper No(S)	6)	Other: .		

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Claims 1-14, 16, 17, 22-25, 30-36 and 38-66 are pending in the application.

This action is in response to applicants' amendment filed September 22, 2003. Claims 1, 8, 9, 16, 47, 53, 54 and 66 have been amended.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed September 22, 2003 have been fully considered with the following effect:

- 1. The applicants' amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejection maintained in the office action dated May 30, 2003, which is hereby withdrawn.
- 2. The applicants' amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejection maintained in the office action dated May 30, 2002, which is hereby withdrawn.
- 3. The applicants' amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the objection of claim 9 in the office action dated May 30, 2002, which is hereby withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 09/736,858 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

In view of the amendment dated September 22, 2003, the following new grounds of rejection apply:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claims 46-64 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a) Claim 46 recites the limitation "[(ethoxycarbonyl)methyl]imino" in the nomenclature of the species at the top of page 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- b) Claim 46 recites the limitation "[(aminocarbonyl)methyl]imino" in the nomenclature of the fourth species from the top of page 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- c) Claims 47-64 and 66 are vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by N atom in formula B, which is not valence satisfied. It is believed that the applicants intended for formula B to have the stereochemistry as shown in (10) on page 20 of the specification.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 65 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/736,858

Art Unit: 1624

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

6. Claims 1-14, 16, 17, 22-25, 30-36 and 38-45 are allowed. None of the prior art of

record nor a search in the pertinent art area teaches the compounds, compositions and

method of use of the compounds of formula I as claimed herein.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brenda L. Coleman whose telephone number is 703-

305-1880. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 703-308-4716. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1235.

Brenda Coleman

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

November 23, 2003